Boosting Japan's Startup Ecosystem

The great potential for Japanese innovation rebound

Tokyo Development Learning Center





Agenda

1

Analysis of Japan's Startup Ecosystem

- a. Context
- b. Tokyo Startup Ecosystem

Key Gaps and Recommendations

Notes

The findings of this presentation is supported by the secondary data sources, complemented by some primary data.

The main data sources used for the analysis of Japan were compiled through a survey of Japanese start-up founders and ecosystem stakeholders, which was conducted by the Cabinet Office under the guidance of the World Bank team (Dataset #1).

Dataset #1

Sample size: 3,914 start-ups and an overall 6,086 ecosystem entities (including start-ups)

Geographical location: Tokyo, Kansai (Kobe, Kyoto, and Osaka), and Fukuoka

Sample information: each company's founding year, address, type of business, founder's information (such as education and previous jobs), and the associated investors and accelerators

Data from New York ecosystem was collected by Endeavour Insights in 2014 and it includes an overall of 9,168 ecosystem entities (including start-ups)

Dataset #2

Sample size:

3,131 startups and 5,991 associated investors in the following deep-tech sectors: Artificial Intelligence (AI), Robotics, Space-Tech and Quantum Computer (QC)

Sample information:

Start-up location (global), investor location (global), investment relations within cluster and among clusters (global)

Dataset #3

Sample size:

65 accelerator programs (global)

Sample information:

accelerator programs, location, management entities, supporting entities, type of accelerator, associated start-ups and mentors



Vaccine Manufacture Share by Global Value (2019)

Global COVID-19 Vaccine Market (01,2021)



Innovation is increasingly focused on start-up development and commercialization

COVID-19 mRNA vaccinations were largely a product of startup innovation

R&D Expenditure (per GDP) %



1.5 Singapore Israel United States Sweden United Kingdom India European Union China Korea, Rep. France Germany Japan 0.08

Note: Japan data includes all start-up funding

VC Investment per GDP %

2.5

3

Ecosystem is missing a start-up ecosystem at par to compete internationally (1/2)Sources: (right) for the United States-National Venture Capital Association (NVCA), 2020; for China-Sheng, 2020; for the European Union and the United Kingdom-Dealroom, 2020: for India-Sheth, Krishnan, and T, 2020; for Japan-INITIAL, 2021; for Israel, Republic of Korea, and rest of the world-Rowley, 2020; for Singapore-Pillai, 2019; KPMG 2019 (left) OECD Main Science and Technology Indicators (2019)

6

R&D vs VC Intensity

Japan's Innovation

Inputs:

Patents Granted Per Capita

0 0.05 0.1 0.15 0.2 0.25 0.3





Outputs:

3

Patents vs Unicorns Intensity

Japan's Innovation Ecosystem is missing a start-up ecosystem at par to compete internationally (2/2)

Note: For this analysis, unicorns refer to any start-up with a valuation >1B (US\$), private or public.

Sources: (left) CBInsights, 2021; INITIAL, 2021 (right) WIPO Country Profiles



Boosting Japan's start-up ecosystem presents a great potential for its forward-looking competitiveness



Boosting Japan's start-up ecosystem presents a great potential for its forward-looking competitiveness Start-ups need an ecosystem to grow

4 core elements of the start-up ecosystem for policy action

Community / Social Network

Social networks of <u>specialized</u> <u>actors</u> that tie all elements of the <u>ecosystem</u>. They help identify entrepreneurial opportunities, access to finance, access to information and create resources and spillovers, strategic alliances, and status signaling

Support Infrastructure

Support programs for start-ups to grow. <u>Accelerators</u> and <u>mentors</u> are arguably the most active and renowned actors, supporting entrepreneurs and start-ups in the early stages of development, provision of small amounts of seed investment, and active mentorship and networking.

Investment

Specialized funding to allow startup growth. Venture Capital (VC) and angel investors in all stages of the ladder of investment (from seed to scale-up). This is complemented with other funding sources and resources: government grants, corporate funding, finance institutions, etc.

Skills infrastructure

Institutions that provide relevant skills for entrepreneurs to create competitive ventures. Formal education actors (e.g., <u>universities</u>) with practical entrepreneurial hands-on programs (curricular or extra-curricular). Informal flexible actors (e.g., <u>accelerators</u>, <u>bootcamps</u>) for non-university population.



Tokyo is today the only true startup ecosystem in Japan, but it is small on global terms



Influence based on number of connections

Tokyo Ecosystem is dominated by traditional institutions that do not cater to start-up needs



Influence based on number of connections

Tokyo Ecosystem is dominated by traditional institutions that do not cater to start-up needs





The start-**up "growthdriven" specialized** ecosystem in Tokyo is small and has little influence

Tokyo presents the reverse image of New York and other leading global start-up ecosystems



The ecosystem is predominantly domestic; it has very little international connectivity

Tokyo only relevant link is with San Francisco for accessing innovation through investment

Distribution of VC Investment Stages



Average Deal Size per Investment Stage Category, US\$ Millions



Investment

Growth-oriented capital is limited (bellow 25% of startup investment), and disproportionally deployed in small stages and ticket sizes

Sources: For Europe and global—<u>CBInsights, 2020</u>: for North America—<u>CBInsights, 2019</u>; for Japan— <u>Venture Enterprise Center (VEC), 2020</u>

Investment Balance Gap (received vs provided) in Unicorns



Investment

Beyond supply problems; the pipeline of start-ups is also not satisfying the needs of Japanese investors and corporations

Percentage of start-ups having raised funding over...



Support Infra.

There are no mature scale-up accelerators that can help start-ups to grow at global scale

Sources: Accelerator websites: <u>500 startups</u>; <u>Techstars</u>: <u>AngelPad</u>: <u>Plug and Play Tech Center</u> <u>Global</u>: <u>Plug and Play Tech Center Japan</u>: <u>Samurai</u> <u>incubate</u>: <u>Open Network Lab</u>: <u>Pitchbook database</u>: and Tracxn database, Dataset #3.









Angel investor

Start-ups

Support Infra.

Compared to global ecosystems like **New York's, Tokyo** lacks a population of domestic mentors and angels

GEM SURVEY– Adults with the Knowledge, Skill, and Experience for Starting Businesses



Coding Boot Camps across Global Ecosystems



Skills and Talent

Japan's Universities provide limited entrepreneurship education and there is not rapid training offering for nonuniversity population



Skills and Talent

When compared to leading universities from around the world, Japan's top universities lad behind in terms of startup and unicorn production, as well as startup funding

Sources: <u>Tracxn database</u> for U.S. universities (June-July 2021); <u>Crunchbase database</u> for Japanese universities (May 2021). Note: University of Pennsylvania and Columbia University combine data from undergraduate programs and their business schools.

2 • Key Gaps and Recommendations

		1	2	3
Recommendations	GAPS	OVERALL SMALL VC SIZE	LIMITED INT'L FUNDS AND GLOBAL GROWTH EXPERIENCE	SCALE-UP
	Action	Catalyze and attract new funds at every stage, with focus on mid-late stage	Attract int'l funds and talent Market regulation	Catalyze scale-up funds (domestic and int'l) and increase the quality of
Support Intrastructure	Policy	Co-invest (directly, fund of funds)* and catalyze new funding Israel (Yozma and Heznek) fund co-investment programs* Germany co-investment growth facility*, French seed Tech (seed co- investment*), UK Future Fund	and transparency	Co-invest*, catalyze new funding Set up goals and partnership with Japanese scale-up funds
Skills and Talant	Example		lax incentives for talent acquisition Incentives through co-investment US SEC and EC guidelines Singapore VC tax incentives	
SKITIS and Talent				
				Pan-European Investment Fund
				France late-stage VC co- investment funding* LIK
			UK and Germany's VC investment tax relief programs	Future Fund
			Korea fund of funds and foreign VC investment fund	

		1	2	3
Recommendations	GAPS	OVERALL SMALL VC SIZE	LIMITED INT'L FUNDS AND GLOBAL GROWTH EXPERIENCE	SCALE-UP
Investment	Potential 3yr target	Increase VC investment by x 4 (US\$ 16 billion) Public co-investment fund* of US\$ 5 Billion (fund of funds and co-investment)	Increase presence of international VC investment (inbound) to 25% of startup funding (US\$ 4 billion) and make investment balance positive (-38% -> +)	Increase late scale-up funding to 40%
Support Infrastructure				(US\$ 6.5 billion); Create 140 unicorps
Skills and Talent				and 2,800 start-ups > US\$ 100M
			Create int'l effective VC guidelines	
	Policy in practice		EC guidelines and rules for VC transparency;	Set US\$ 2 Billion for scale- up funding;
			VC investment tax relief;	Redirect public funds
			Set US\$ 1 Billion of co- investment* for attraction of international VCs	Modify incentives for early IPO
Note: Targets are illustrative of potential of ecosystem; policy in practice are examples of applied policies to	Note*: Co-in	vestment between government		

policy in practice are examples of applied policies to
achieve potential target.Note : Co-investment
and private investors

		1	2	3
Recommendations	GAPS	SMALL SIZE AND LACK OF GLOBAL ACCELERATORS	LIMITED NUMBER OF DOMESTIC MENTORS AND EXPERIENCED START-UP SCALE-UP TALENT	CORPORATE AND PUBLIC PROGRAMS ARE NOT GROWTH- ORIENTED
Investment Support Infrastructure	Action	Co-investment* of international accelerators and build deep-tech vertical programs (domestic and scale-up)	Attract int'l mentors and start-up talent through hands-on co-creation programs to develop local talent capabilities	Catalyze corporate and public startup growth-oriented programs Direct and facilitate accelerators partnership with corporate and
Skills and Talent	Policy	Co-invest [*] , catalyze new funding and presence of int'l accelerators	Attraction of talent through hands-on co-creation programs to develop local talent	Public S&T programs Public procurement catalyzation of new startup markets
		Catalyze domestic deep tech accelerators with partnership guidance	Tax incentives and promotion of international mentors' programs UK Global Entrepreneurship Program (GEP)	Deep tech public-PPP challenges and regulatory sandboxes
	Example	Israel, Finland, France, Korea subsidies and co-funding of accelerator programs		Israel and Massachusetts provision of finding to MNC to build innovation hubs
		France vertical deep tech accelerator program	Korea K start-up Grand Challenge, France Concours i- Lab	NASA catalyzation of space transportation market
				COVID warp speed, DARPA
Note: Policy examples are illustrative	Note*: Co-i and private	nvestment between government e investors	France early-stage tax exemptions, UK Angel co- investment fund, Korea secondary market for angels	sandboxes

		1	2	3
Recommendations	GAPS	SMALL SIZE AND LACK OF GLOBAL ACCELERATORS	LIMITED NUMBER OF DOMESTIC MENTORS AND EXPERIENCED START-UP SCALE-UP TALENT	CORPORATE AND PUBLIC PROGRAMS ARE NOT GROWTH- ORIENTED
Investment Support Infrastructure Skills and Talent	Potential 3yr target	Permanent presence with funds of 5 global accelerator full-fledge programs	Increase angel investors (2% -> 10%) Attract 250 foreign startups for at least 1 yr. in Japan	Create 1 R&D start-up hub and 1 sandbox area per S&T cluster, Set a "DARPA challenge" program Increase public procurement for S&T key agencies (e.g., JAXA) to 20%
	Policy in practice	Fund 5 accelerator programs for 2 yrs. for a 4 yr. presence and co-invest* in funds (set a seed fund of US\$ 100M). Include requirement of deep-tech programs	Set 2 (Tokyo and Kansai hub) main challenge/foreign start-up residence program with Japanese startups; Set US\$ 50M for angel co- investment fund*	Fund creation and operation of 5 R&D centers, Set 5 sandbox regulatory areas Create a "DARPA challenge" program Set targets of >20% for S&T agencies procurement with
policy in practice are examples of applied policies to achieve potential target.	Note*: Co-ir and private	ivestment between government investors		startups 26

		1	2	3
Recommendations	GAPS	LACK OF PRACTICAL ENTREPRENEURSHIP PROGRAMS	LIMITED R&D COMMERCIALIZATION IMPACT	LIMITED INFORMAL EDUCATION AND TALENT CONVERSION FROM CORPORATES
Investment	Action	Create practical education ecosystem programs in all	Expand programs reach and partner with	Attract and build domestic and int'l informal
Support Infrastructure	Policy	Catalyze comprehensive programs of ecosystem development through partnership funding incentives	tech)	incentives to enable talent mobility
Skills and Talent			funding and program size and reach	Grants and investment, direct partnership
Note: Policy examples are illustrative; deep-tech verticals may include Artificial Intelligence (AI), quantum computing, regenerative medicine, autonomous driving, blockchain, cybersecurity, virtual reality, lithium-ion batteries, drones and conductive	Example	Create new campus project to develop new practical entrepreneurship education Berkeley, MIT, Sweden Stockholm School of Entrepreneurship (SSES) university ecosystem of support programs Korea university- entrepreneurship center, specialized incubator universities program and Al schools	Expand partnerships with corporate and public R&D	Tax incentives and benefits platform mobility for
			Chicago mHUB, Massachusetts Life Science Center; Israeli Life Science Funds	UK apprenticeship and
			Korea in-house venture development and Leading Universities for Startups	Finland startup grants

		1	2	3
Recommendations	GAPS	LACK OF PRACTICAL ENTREPRENEURSHIP PROGRAMS	LIMITED R&D COMMERCIALIZATION IMPACT	LIMITED INFORMAL EDUCATION AND TALENT CONVERSION FROM CORPORATES
Investment	Potential 3yr target	Create a university ecosystem support program (e.g., Berkeley, MIT) in top 5 univs. and curricular classes available for all students Establish a new deep-tech practical entrepreneurship university program	Create deep tech accelerators programs and VC co-investment funds* for top 10 verticals Establish a corporate- public- startup " mHUB " per startup hub	Attract 1 large-scale (300 students/yr.) bootcamp and 1 int'l accelerator per start-up cluster; Create 250 new start-ups from corporate employees
Support Infrastructure				
Skills and Talent				
	Fund creation of 10 deep	practical bootcamps entrepreneurship training;		
	Create a new university program deep tech- practical education (e.g., Florida- Softbank)	programs with specialized accelerator (2 yr. funding for 3 yr. program)	Provide subsidies and grants for creation of 250 new startups from corporate mid-career	
	Note*. Co investment between government and private investors			employees; 22

Note*: Co-investment between government and private investors

Policy in Focus #1

Universities to extend hands-on simulation-based entrepreneurship education available for all its students Illustrative examples of activities and comprehensive university programs

- Entrepreneurship curricular courses available for all students
- Business plans competitions and hackathons,
- Camps and Pitch competitions,
- Acceleration and incubation
- Invention
- Mentors, angels and fellows
- R&D commercialization support
- Grants, seed and VC support investment
- Alumni and post-doc VC funds

EXAMPLE IN FOCUS Start-up Practical Education Ecosystem



 Startup Support: post-doc entrepreneurship, free ventures, Xaccelerator, Berkeley startup cluster, SkyDeck.

SkyDeck ->accelerator, incubator, mentoring, global innovation program and investment fund

- 2. New product R&D support: invention lab, fellows, Innovate Berkeley, theme programs
- 3. Investor funds: Grants, Seed fund, Alumni fund, Research fund, SkyDeck fund, angel network
- 4. University ecosystem: academic programs, product/market fit support, legal, recruiting and intellectual property support



Policy in Focus #2

Expand Beyond Tokyo to diversify and Grow Japan's deep-tech start-up ecosystem leveraging S&T Cluster Potential

Boosting Japan's Startup Ecosystem

The great potential for Japanese innovation rebound

Tokyo Development Learning Center





Technical Notes

Slides 12, 13, 14 and 19:

Dots represent ecosystem stakeholders. Lines represent connections between stakeholders and start-ups based on stakeholder role (e.g., investor connection is an investment, accelerator connection is participation in the acceleration, school connection is education of start-up founder in such school).

Slide 15

Data here are artificial intelligence, robotics, space technology, and quantum computer. Only the frontier use of those technologies is included. The use of technologies that is accessory (e.g., a noncore use of the technology for the business model) is not included. Startups and investors are clustered by location of origin. The dots represent these clusters. The size of the dots is proportionate to the number of startups and investors in each cluster. The larger the size of a cluster the larger the number of start-ups (receiving investment) and investors (making investments) a cluster has.

Slide 16

For benchmarking purposes, stages have been unified to the international standard followed by CBInsights: seed stage for deals below US\$3M; early stage for deals between US\$3 million and US\$5 million and late stage for deals above US\$5 million, INITIAL data are based on funding received by start-ups per investment series, which have been adapted to follow the CBInsights value criteria. For Japan ticket size atge, VEC classifications may differ as the value of each state referred to is not disclosed. Instead, VEC methodology refers to the stage of the company, which may not correspond with CBInsights' classification, especially for early and late stages. For benchmarking purposes, VEC classifications of early stage and expanding stage have been merged into early stage.

References and additional

resources

Azulay, Pierre, Bejnamin Jones, Daniel Kim and Javier Miranda. 2018. "Research: The Average of a Successful Startup Founder is 45, Harvard Business Review, Boston, MA. https://hbr.org/2018/07/research-the-average-age-of-a-successful-startupfounder-is-45

Auserwald, Philip E. 2015. "Enabling Entrepreneurial Ecosystems. Insights From Ecology To Inform Effective Entrepreneurship Policy." Kauffman Foundation Small Research Projects Research, Ewing Marion Kauffman Foundation, Kansas City, MO. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2673843

Eliasz, Toni; Wyne, Jamil; Lenoble, Sarah. 2021. "The Evolution and State of Singapore's Start-up Ecosystem: Lessons for Emerging Market Economies." World Bank Group, Washington, DC. <u>https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/35328</u>

Feldman, Maryann and Ted D. Zoller. 2012. "Dealmakers in Place: Social Capital Connections in Regional Entrepreneurial Economies." <u>https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10</u>

Fehder, Daniel C., and Yael V. Hochberg. 2014. "Accelerators and the Regional Supply of Venture Capital." http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2518668

Florida, Richard, and Ian Hathaway. 2018. "Rise of the Global Startup City: The New Map of Entrepreneurship and Venture Capital." Report, New York University School of Professional Studies and the Center for American Entrepreneurship, New York and Washington, DC. <u>https://startupsusa.org/global-startup-cities</u>

Olan, Kathy; Mulas, Victor; Lerner, Matt. 2018. "Supporting Entrepreneurs at the Local Level: The Effect of Accelerators and Mentors on Early-Stage Firms." World Bank Group, Washington, DC. https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/30384

Mulas, Victor; Minges, Michael; Applebaum, Hallie. 2015. "Boosting Tech Innovation Ecosystems in Cities: A Framework for Growth and Sustainability of Urban Tech Innovation Ecosystems." World Bank Group, Washington, DC. https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/23029 Mulas, Victor; Gastelu-Iturri, Mikel. 2016. "New York: Transforming a City into a Tech Innovation leader." World Bank Group, Washington, DC. <u>https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/25753</u>

Mulas, Victor; Nedayvoda, Anastasia; Zaatari, Ghia. 2017. Creative Community Spaces : Spaces That Are Transforming Cities into Innovation Hubs. World Bank Group, Washington,

DC. https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/28329

Mulas, Victor. 2018. "Which Countries Are Better Prepared to Compete Globally in the Technology Disruptive Age?." World Bank Group, Washington, DC. <u>https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/30615</u>

Mulas, Victor. 2019. "World Start-up Ecosystem and Japan." Presentation. World Bank Group. https://www.cao.go.jp/others/soumu/pitch2m/results.html

Neck H, Meyer D, Cohen B, Corbett A. 2004. An entrepreneurial system view of new venture creation. Journal of Small Business Management 42 (2): 190-208.

Wadhwa, Vivek, Krisztina Holly, Raj Aggarwal, and Alex Salkever. 2009. "Anatomy of an Entrepreneur: Family Background and Motivation." Kauffman Foundation Small Research Projects Research, Ewing Marion Kauffman Foundation, Kansas City, MO. http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1431263

World Bank. 2017. "Coding Bootcamps : Building Future-Proof Skills through Rapid Skills Training." World Bank Group, Washington, DC. <u>https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/28218</u>

World Bank. 2018. "Tech Start-Up Ecosystem in West Bank and Gaza: Findings and Recommendations." World Bank Group, Washington, DC. https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/31075

Yu, Sandy. 2016. "How Do Accelerators Impact High-Technology Ventures." https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2503510