Form 17 (Re: Article 20, paragraph (1))

Application for License Relating to Control of Spacecraft

April 1, 2018

To: Prime Minister

(Postal code) 100-0013 Address: XXX, Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo Name (Seal) (Company name, in cases of a corporation) XXXX K.K. (Seal) Contact: XXX-XXXX XXX, Otemachi, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo XXXX K.K., General Affairs Department, General Affairs Section, Taro Naikaku Tel: 03-6205-XXXX (Ext.) 9999 Email address: naikaku-taro@xxx.co.jp

The applicant hereby submits an application for license relating to the control of a spacecraft pursuant to the provisions of Article 20, paragraph (2) of the Act on Launching of Spacecraft, etc. and Control of Spacecraft.

Launching of Spacecraft, etc.	and Control of Spacecraft. (The applica prepare an a	nt needs not
Name of spacecraft:	CAO spacecraft outside Ja	pan. Prepare
Location of spacecraft	(i) XX, Yakumo-cho, Hokkaido Attachment 2 applicable	if any facility is
control facility:	(ii) XX, Nagoya-shi, Aichi	
Orbit of spacecraft	Sun-synchronous sub-recurrent orbit	
	Semi-major axis: XX km	he specific figures of
	Eccentricity: XX these	items, an applicant
	Inclination: XX° figure	es allowing some
	Right ascension of the ascending node: XX ^o (realis	stic margin.
	Argument of perigee: XX°	
Purpose and method of using	Purpose: Business activity (geospatial information)	
the spacecraft	Method: Provision of data (sale of data)	
Configuration of spacecraft	As indicated in Attachment 1	
(Attachment 1)		

Content of termination	(a) \square (b) \square (c) \square (d) \blacksquare	
measures specified by	Natural decay (Other termination measures) as a	
Article 22, item (iv) of the	termination measure is to be implemented.	
Act:		
Control plan (Attachment 2)	To be indicated in Attachment 2	
Name and address of the	Name: Hanako Naikaku	
representative in case of	Address: XXXX, Sapporo-shi, Hokkaido	
death		
Name of officers or	Officer: XXXX (President, Satellite Control Center)	
employees in charge of	Employee: XXXX (Person responsible for operation)	
business of control of		
spacecraft, etc.		
Whether the applicant falls	under any of the Applicable \Box Non-applicable \checkmark	
disqualification grounds unde	er Article 21 of the	
Act		

Note 1: The size of the paper must be Japan Industrial Standards (JIS) A4.

2: An applicant may affix a signature instead of affixing the applicant's name and seal. In this case, the applicant must personally affix the signature.

3: Attach the documents set forth in the items of Article 20, paragraph (2), items (ii) and (iii) of the Regulation for Enforcement of the Act on Launching of Spacecraft, etc. and Control of Spacecraft.

(Attachment 1-1)

Configuration of spacecraft				
Dimension (mm)	(in operation)			
	630mm±5%×830mm±5	%×1760mm±5%		
Total mass (kg)	50 - 70kg			
Design life	3 - 5 years			
Power system	Shunt control + Unregu	ılated bus		
Attitude control method:	Three-axes attitude control system			
Configuration of propulsion	One-liquid blowdown, 3N thruster × 4			
Applicable ☑ Non-applicable □		In the case of	two-liquid	
Type of propellant	Hydrazine	system, information	describe on the	
		respective prop	ellants.	
Mass of propellant (kg)	3 - 5kg			
Main structural materials	AL-1/8-5056			
Main devices installed	Synthetic aperture radar antenna, data			
	transmission antenna			

X: The following is the example for a 50cm class small satellite. An applicant is required to make a distinction from a "document certifying that the configuration of the spacecraft satisfies the launch vehicle safety standard provided in Article 22 of the Regulation" as necessary.

(Attachment 1-2)

•Overview of Spacecraft



Overview at the time of launching spacecraft



\circ Spacecraft system diagram

Indicate a spacecraft system diagram using a block diagram, etc. The diagram is to be shown in a way to enable the identification of onboard components/equipment including a communication system, attitude control system, power system and mission system as well as their interfaces.



Spacecraft system diagram

(Attachment 1-3) • Mechanism for the prevention of unintended release of objects

Enter information according to 6.2.1 of the Guidelines on Permission Related to Control of Spacecraft.

An assessment based either an analysis or testing is acceptable.

- 1. Evaluate whether the components, etc. of the spacecraft will not easily come off or scatter based on a structural analysis or environmental test. The following is a summary of the evaluations (for details, see the XX-XXXX structural analysis report and the XX-XXXX test results report.)
- 1.1 Results of structural analysis
- (1) Mathematical model of structure

An analysis of the model designed using 3-D CAD software XXX was implemented by a finite element method software XXX. Figure 1.1-1 shows the analytical model.



Figure 1.1-1: Mathematical model of structure

(2) Materials used

Table 1.1-1 shows the specifications of parts and materials of the spacecraft.

Parts	Materials	Thickness	Allowable stress	Source:	
X Panel	A7075-T7	XX mm	Yield stress=×× N/mm ²	XX Material property	
			Ultimate stress = $\circ \circ$ N/mm ²	database	
Y Panel	5052-H34	XX mm	Yield stress= $\triangle \triangle N/mm^2$	XX material science	
			Ultimate stress= □□ N/mm ²		
SAP	CF honeycomb	XX mm	Yield stress= $\triangle \triangle N/mm^2$	XX Vendor information	
			Ultimate stress= □□ N/mm ²		
:	:	:			

Table 1.1-1: Main specification of members

(3) Load condition

The conditions of acceleration provided in the interface control document of the XX launch vehicle are applied. In addition, load conditions, including an estimate of the maximum on-orbit load, are calculated. Table 1.1-2 shows the load conditions applied.

case	Х	Y	Z
1	- 0.0	0	xx.xx
2	- 0.0	0	- xx.xx
3	- 0.0	$\triangle \triangle . \triangle$	0
4	- 0.0		0
:	:	:	:

Table 1.1-2 Quasi-static load conditions (Unit: [G])

(4) Safety factor (FS), margin of safety (MS)

The safety factors provided in the XX structural design standard (yield: FSy=1.25, ultimate: FSu=1.5) was applied.

The following definition provided in the XX structural design standard is adopted as MS.



(5) Validity of mathematical model of structure (results of correlation)

The mathematical model of structure was verified by comparing the natural frequency calculated using the mathematical model of the structure with the result of modal survey. Table 1.1-3 shows the comparison between the results of analysis of the natural frequency and the results of the vibration test. As the difference of the results of analysis and test does not exceed X% in terms of natural frequency, the mathematical model of the structure is considered to be valid.

	-	2 7 7		-
Mode	Analysis result [Hz]	Modal survey results [Hz]	Difference	between
No.			model/test [%]	
1	00.00	$\triangle \triangle . \triangle$	X.XX	
2	00.00	$\triangle \triangle . \triangle$	X.XX	
3	00.00	$\triangle \triangle . \triangle$	X.XX	
:	÷	÷	:	

Table 1.1-3 Comparison of natural frequency analysis results and modal survey

(6) Evaluation of stiffness

A natural frequency analysis was implemented using the mathematical model of the structure. As a result, it was confirmed that the natural frequency required under the XX interface control document (Longitudinal direction: not less than ____ Hz; lateral direction: not less than ____ Hz) is satisfied. The results of analysis of natural frequency is shown in Table 1.1-4, and the major modes are shown in Figure 1.1-2.

Mode	Natural frequency [Hz]	Effect	Effective mass proportion [%]				Note	
No.		Х	Y	Z	R1	R2	R3	
1	00.00	XX.XX	0.XX	0.XX	0.XX	0.XX	0.XX	X1
2	00.00	0.XX	XX.XX	0.XX	0.XX	0.XX	0.XX	Y1
3	00.00	0.XX	0.XX	0.XX	0.XX	0.XX	0.XX	
:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:

Table 1.1-4 Results of analysis of natural frequency



Figure 1.1-2: Major modes

(7) Evaluation of strength

All the MSs were confirmed to be positive by evaluating strength using the mathematical model for the structure. The interface with the XXX launch vehicle is assumed to be fully rigid connection. The results of analysis for the yield stress and ultimate stress against the maximum stress generated at each part are shown in Table 1.1-5. Major contour of the generated stress is shown in Figure 1.1-3.

Parts	Load	Maximum	Allowable yield	MSy	Allowable	MSu
	case	stress [MPa]	stress [MPa]		ultimate	
					stress [MPa]	
X Panel	11	00	××	$\triangle. \triangle \triangle$		0.00
Y Panel	15	00	××	$\triangle. \triangle \triangle$		0.00
SAP	8	00	××	$\triangle. \triangle \triangle$		0.00
:	:	:	:	÷	:	÷

Table 1.1-5 Evaluation of Strength of Yield Stress



Figure 1.1-3 X Panel case11 Contour of generated stress

1.2 Results of environmental test

(1) Test configuration

A test piece was set on a jig with sufficient stiffness to make various experiments. The test configuration is shown in Figure 1.2-1.



Figure 1.2-1: XX test configuration

(2) Date and place of test

Date: MM, DD, YYYY XX University, Structural Experiment Laboratory, vibration test device and impact test device

(3) Load level

Tests were implemented under the test conditions defined in the interface control

document of XX launch vehicle.

(4) Measurement

Accelerometers were attached on several representative points of attachment of onboard instruments and structure panels to be evaluated. The points where the accelerometers were attached are shown in Figure 1.2-2.



Figure 1.2-2 Measurement points of acceleration

(5) Summary of result

The test piece was not broken or damaged and no anomaly event occurred during the test.

The response acceleration is shown in Figure 1.2-3, and the response level at each measurement point did not exceed the allowable level.



Figure 1.2-3: Test data

2. The following shows that measures to prevent release of equipment upon the operation of separation and deployment system of the spacecraft are taken. (see "XXXX Critical Design Report of deployment system" for the details"

2.1 Summary of design

This spacecraft is equipped with S-band antenna and solar array panels. In the following, overview of design to prevent generation of debris in relation to each deployment system is described.

(1) S-band antenna

Retention release mechanism is applied for antenna using fiber. Upon deployment of antenna, the fiber is blowout to release the retainer. It is covered so that the fragments of the cut fiber would not become debris by being released from the spacecraft. The overview is shown in Figure 2.1-1.



Figure 2.1-1 Overview of the cover for protection of dispersion of fiber

(2) Solar array panels

Retention release mechanism using pyrotechnic devices is applied to solar array panels. Upon antenna deployment, the bolts are cut using pyrotechnic devices so that the retainer is released. It has a mechanism (bolt catcher) so that the fragments of the cut bolts would not become debris by being released from the spacecraft. The overview of the cover is shown in Figure 2.1-2.



Figure 2.1-2 Overview of the cover for protection of dispersion of bolts

2.2 Evaluation of load upon deployment of deploying mechanisms

It has been verified that antennas, hinges and structure of solar array panels would not break out due to loads on orbit by both analysis and deployment test. The summary of structural analysis is described in 1.1 results of analysis for structure (see "XX analysis report for structure" for more details).

The summary of deployment test of antenna and solar array panels are described in the following (see "XX analysis report for structure" for more details).

(1) Test configuration

A test piece was set on a jig with sufficient stiffness to make various experiments. The test configuration is shown in Figure 2.2-1.



Figure 2.2-1 Configuration of deployment test

(2) Date and place of test

YYYY year MM month DD day Structure test building of $\circ \circ$ University

(3) Measurement

Accelerometers were attached on several representative points of attachment of onboard instruments and structure panels to be evaluated. The points where the accelerometers were attached are shown in Figure 2.2-2.



Figure 2.2-2 Measurement points of acceleration

(4) Summary of result

The test piece was not broken or damaged and no anomaly event occurred during the test.

No excessive local vibration response was detected in the measured data of accelerometer. The test data is shown in Figure 2.2-3.



Figure 2.2-3: Test data

3. Evaluation of combustion products

The pyrotechnic devices used for this spacecraft will not generate combustion product with a maximum dimension of 1mm or larger based on the record used for spacecraft (Type XXX). The shape and specification of the pyrotechnic device is shown in Figure 3-1 and Table 3-1.



Figure 3-1: Outer shape of pyrotechnic devices

Table 3-1: Specification of pyrotechnic device	\mathbf{s}
--	--------------

Type number	XXX
Mass	00 g
Minimum current for ignition	$\bigtriangleup A$
:	

•Mechanism for separation or docking, if applicable

X Clearly indicate the mechanism for the prevention of constraints on the control of other spacecraft.

Enter information according to 6.2.2 of the Guidelines on Permission Related to Control of Spacecraft.

This spacecraft will separate a slave spacecraft on orbit and the slave spacecraft will take pictures of the master spacecraft to monitor the status of operation of the master spacecraft. An overview of the separation system of the slave spacecraft and its operation, and summary of evaluation on constraints on the control of other spacecraft are shown in the following.

1. Outline

The slave spacecraft follows the master spacecraft keeping a distance of XXkm from the master spacecraft. The slave spacecraft is planned to take pictures of the master spacecraft using an onboard camera. The mechanism of separation of the slave spacecraft is briefly described in 1.1 and the overview of the operation plan is described in 1.2.

1.1 Slave spacecraft separation system

The configuration of the master spacecraft loading the slave spacecraft is shown in Figure 1.1-1. Major specifications of the slave spacecraft are shown in Table 1.1-1, and the configuration of the slave spacecraft after separation is shown in Figure 1.1-2.

The slave spacecraft is not equipped with a propulsion system. Its attitude is controlled by XX.



Figure 1.1-1 Overview of the configuration of the slave spacecraft onboard the master spacecraft $% \left({{{\left[{{{\left[{{{\left[{{{\left[{{{\left[{{{\left[{{{c}}} \right]}}} \right]}$

Dimension (mm)	$\circ\circ$ mm × $\circ\circ$ mm	
Mass (kg)	$\circ \circ $ kg	
Battery	Lithium ion secondary cell (2S1P)	
	(XX Manufacturing Company, XX-XXXX)	
Attitude control method:	00	
Propulsion system	N/A	
Main structural materials	AL-1/8-5056	
Main devices installed	Camera, communication devices	

Table 1.1-1: Major specification of slave spacecraft



Figure 1.1-2 Overview of the slave spacecraft after separation

The separation procedure of the slave spacecraft and an overview of the separation system are described in the following and in Figure 1.1-3, respectively.

(1) The master spacecraft receives a command for separation from the ground station.

(2) The door of the master spacecraft for ejection of the slave spacecraft opens.

. . .



Figure 1.1-3: Overview of slave spacecraft separation system

1.2 Operation of the slave spacecraft

The operation concept of the slave spacecraft is described in this section. The relative position of the slave spacecraft to the master spacecraft on orbit is shown in Figure 1.2-1.



Figure 1.2-1: Relative position of master spacecraft/slave spacecraft

(1) Separation of the slave spacecraft

The slave spacecraft is ejected to the same orbit as the master spacecraft by spring force of $\triangle N$ by operating the separation system described in 1.1 so that the slave spacecraft follows after the master spacecraft.

(2) Operation

The slave spacecraft follows after the master spacecraft keeping a distance of \circ km and takes pictures of the master spacecraft. The power for the camera is triggered to turn on by receiving the separation signal

(3) Termination of operation

The altitude of the orbit of the slave spacecraft will decrease and its re-entry will begin earlier than the master spacecraft. As for the evaluation concerning re-entry, see the related sections of the following document.

(Attachment 1-4) For spacecraft for re-entry, into the Earth, a mechanism for the ensuring of public safety

(Attachment 2) See control plan 6 "Termination measures of spacecraft"

The application of the slave spacecraft is also submitted separately.

2. Assessment of impact on the control of other spacecraft

Because the slave spacecraft is injected into the same orbit as that of the master spacecraft and is operated at a close position to the master spacecraft, the impact on other spacecraft by the slave spacecraft is considered to be of the same level as that on the master spacecraft. Hence the procedure of injecting the slave spacecraft into the expected orbit is important so as to not place any restriction on the control of other spacecraft.

The procedure of ejecting the slave spacecraft is described in the following (1).

As for the prevention of contamination of space caused by break-up of the slave spacecraft, see the relating sections of the following.

(Attachment 1-3) Countermeasures for the prevention of unintended release of objects

(Attachment 1-4) Countermeasures to prevent break-up

(1) Countermeasures to inject the slave spacecraft into the expected orbit

The causes of a slave spacecraft not being injected into the expected orbit upon the ejection of the slave spacecraft were identified using the method of FTA (Fault Tree Analysis) and the countermeasures for each cause identified were studied. They are summarized in Table 2-1. (See "XXXXXX $\circ\circ\circ\circ\circ$ " for details)

Causes	Countermeasure
Physical interference	The door is nominally set as open. The opening angle
with the door for	should be wide enough with a margin for tolerance of
ejection	direction of the slave spacecraft to be ejected.
Excessive spring	
force for ejection	
Errors in attitude of	
the master spacecraft	
• • •	•••

Table 2-1 Countermeasures for injecting the slave spacecraft into the expected orbit

(Attachment 1-4) •Countermeasures to prevent break-up

Enter information according to 6.2.3 of the Guidelines on Permission Related to Control of Spacecraft.

1. The following shows that a spacecraft has a function of transmitting telemetry data on the position, attitude and status of the spacecraft to a ground station for control of the spacecraft and that a spacecraft can measure parameters necessary for detecting precautions which may lead to break-up.

This spacecraft has a propulsion system and batteries which may be a cause of breakup due to pressure system. The spacecraft is monitored by measuring the status of the spacecraft using such sensors shown in Table 1-1.

System	Sensors	Monitoring items
Attitude	sun sensor, GPS, gyroscope,	attitude and position
control	magnetometric sensor	
Propulsion	tank pressure sensor, thermometer	tank pressure, tank
system		temperature
Power system	Voltage sensor, current sensor,	voltages of batteries,
	thermometer	currents of batteries

Table 1-1: Sensors and monitoring items

The telemetry data shown in Table 1-1 can be transmitted to the ground control facility via S-band antenna. The block diagram of the communication system that shows the relation of each sensor and antennas is shown in Figure 1-1.



Figure 1-1: Block diagram of communication system

2. The following describes how the configuration of the spacecraft is such that it will not be a cause of break-up.

The propulsion system is constituted with commercial products that comply with the High Pressure Gas Safety Act. It was confirmed that the maximum expected operation pressure (MEOP) in launch environment and orbit operation does not exceed the maximum tolerable pressure (rating) of each component. Specification of each component and MEOP are shown in Table 2.1-1 (See "XXX Critical Design Report on Pressure" for detail calculation of MEOP).

Components	Manufacturer	Туре	Maximum tolerable	MEOP
		number	pressure (rating)	[MPa]
			[MPa]	
Tank	XX Manufacturing	XX-XXXX	00.0	$\triangle \triangle . \triangle$
	Company			
Shut off valve	$\Box\Box$ valve	XX-XXXX	00.0	$\triangle \triangle . \triangle$
Plumbing	XX Manufacturing	XX-XXXX	00.0	$\triangle \triangle . \triangle$
	Company			
:	:	:	:	:

Table 2.1-1: Specification of pressure system components

Also it was confirmed that the results of pressure tests and airtight tests after the system integration do not show any problem. (See "XXXX Report on pressure system

tests" for details of the tests".

2.2 Battery

Lithium ion battery cells manufactured by XX are used in XX parallel x serial. Specifications of the battery cells are shown in Table 2.2-1.

	6
Type of battery	Lithium ion
Manufacturer, type number	Manufacturing company XX-XXXX
Rated voltage	XX V
Rated capacity	XX mAh
Size	$\circ\circ$ mm × $\circ\circ$ mm
Weight	$ riangle riangle \mathbf{g}$

Table 2.2-1: Specification of battery cell

It was confirmed that the battery is designed so as not to breakup according to the following check items.

(1) Prevention of internal short circuit

No internal short in battery cells was detected by comparing charge discharge characteristics before and after environment tests. The results of comparison in measurements of charge discharge characteristics are shown in Figure 2.2-1.



Figure 2.2-1 Comparison of charge discharge characteristic curve

(2) Prevention of break-up caused by external short circuit

In order to prevent break-up caused by an external short circuit, batteries with PTC

(positive temperature coefficient: device with positive temperature characteristic) were selected. Overview of PTC in a battery cell is shown in Figure 2.2-2. An overcurrent protection circuit was also equipped downstream of the battery. The overcurrent protection circuit monitors the current and shuts it down before it exceeds the maximum rating current (XX A) of the battery cell.

The configuration of the overcurrent protection circuit is shown in Figure 2.2-3.



Figure 2.2-2 Overview of PTC in a battery



Figure 2.2-3 Circuit diagram of battery

(3) Overcharge

An overcharge protection circuit was equipped in between the battery and solar cells in order to protect overcharge from the solar cells. The overcharge protection circuit monitors the voltage and shuts the circuit down before it exceeds the maximum rating voltage XXV of the battery.

The configuration of the overcharge protection circuit is shown in Figure 2.2-3.

- 3. The following indicates that the spacecraft has a configuration to remove all remaining energy or ensure safety in the cases of anomalies.
- 3.1 Propulsion system

The configuration of the propulsion system is shown in Figure 3.1-1. In case of detection of anomaly in a monitoring item of the propulsion system, the shut-off valve shown in the figure is opened to vent residual propellant by activating the thruster valve (propellant emission).



Figure 3.1-1: Configuration drawing of propulsion system

3.2 Battery

As for the prevention of increase of internal pressure due to battery anomaly, the following functions are implemented.

(1) Isolation function of charging circuit

In order to prevent an increase of internal pressure when an anomaly in a battery is detected, FET (Field-Effect Transistor) switches are equipped between solar cells

and the battery so that the solar cells are isolated from the battery. The power from the solar cells is isolated by switching off the FET in response to a command from the ground station. The circuit diagram of the isolation of the charging circuit is shown in Figure 3.2-1.



Figure 3.2-1 Circuit diagram of the function of isolation of charging circuit

(2) Safety valve

The battery cell including a safety value to prevent the increase of internal pressure of the battery cell during an anomaly is selected. The internal pressure can be relieved by the safety value even when the internal pressure of the cell increases. An overview of the safety value of the battery cell is shown in Figure 3.2-2.



Figure 3.2-2 Overview of safety valve of the battery cell

•For spacecraft for reentry into the Earth atmosphere, countermeasures to ensure public safety

Enter information according to 6.2.4 of the Guidelines on Permission Related to Control of Spacecraft.

1. Outline

Survivability of the spacecraft and its components upon natural decay of the spacecraft is confirmed and expected casualties are evaluated to confirm public safety. A summary of each evaluation is described in the following. (See "XXX Report on the safety analysis upon re-entry" for details).

2. Conclusion

The results of the study show that the expected casualties upon the re-entry of this spacecraft are as follows, which satisfies the international criteria indicated in the guideline.

 Expected casualties: ●●.●×10^{-△} (<< 1×10⁻⁴) (Casualty area: △△.△△ (m²))

3. Environment of the analysis and its condition

3.1 Tools used ○otool Ver. △.△ Manual: 「○○○○○○○」

3.2 Condition of analysis

(1) Assumption of orbit

- Inclination: XX°

- Initial condition of latitude and longitude of the spacecraft: \circ° , \circ°

- Initial altitude of the orbit: $\circ \circ km$

(2) Conditions of the analysis

Assumption of falling condition	random tumbling
Analysis method of heating and melting	mass concentration method
Oxidization heat of formation	00%
•••	

Table 3.2-1 Conditions of analysis

(3) Data used

Table 3.2-2 Data used

Atmosphere data	US standard 1976
Fragment data	See Table 3.2-3.
Material data	See Table 3.2-4.
Population data	GPW (Gridded Population of the World) version- \circ
• • •	•••

Table 3.2-3: Fragment data

Item	Shape	Dimension	Mass	Quantity	Major	Location
		(mm)	(kg)		materials	
Structure	box	oxoxo	\bigtriangleup	×	AL2014	outer shell
Battery	cylinder	Φ oxo	\bigtriangleup	×	Nickel	inside Box
• • •	•••	• • •	•••	•••	• • •	•••

Table 3.2-4 Material property (summary)

Item	Specific	Thermal	Density	Emissivity	Latent	Oxidization
	heat	conductivity			heat	heat of
						formation
AL2014	0.0	$\triangle. \triangle$	×.×	0.0	•.•	▲.▲
Nickel	0.0	$\triangle. \triangle$	×.×	0.0	•.•	▲.▲
•••	•••	• • •	•••	• • •	•••	• • •

(4) Approach on the break-up altitude

Based on literature XX, the break-up altitude is set as XX km.

The survivability of the spacecraft at an altitude lower than the XX km is analyzed assuming the condition in which the spacecraft is divided into each component shown in Table 3.2-3.

4. Results of analysis

4.1 Determination of the break-up point

The tool was used for analysis of the spacecraft configuration shown in Table 3.2-3 assuming the condition for analysis shown in Table 3.2-1 that starts from the initial condition described in 3.2(1). The property of the orbit at the break-up altitude XX km according to the calculation is shown in the following.

Altitude (km)	00.0
Inclination (°)	00.0
Latitude and longitude (°)	latitude $\circ\circ.\circ$, longitude $ riangle riangle$. $ riangle$
Relative velocity (km/sec)	00.0
Longitudinal angle (°)	00.0

Table 4.1-1 Property of the orbit at the break-up altitude

4.2 Reentry Survivability analysis

The tool was used for analysis of each component shown in Table 3.2-3 assuming the condition for analysis shown in Table 3.2-1 that starts from the break-up altitude shown in Table 4.1-1. The fragments survived are shown in the following.

 Table 4.2-1: Survived fragments

Item	Casualty area	Quantity	Total Casualty	Impacting
	(m ² /fragment)		area (m²)	kinetic energy (J)
Battery	00.0	\bigtriangleup	xx.x	\odot
•••	• • •	• •	• • •	• • •

Total casualty area: $\bullet \bullet . \bullet (m^2)$

4.3 Expected casualties

The expected time of re-entry of this spacecraft is from XXX to XXX.

The expected casualties concerning the survived fragments shown in Table 4.2-1 is calculated. The results are shown in the following.

Expected time of falling	Expected casualties (person)	
0000 year	••.•	
$ \triangle \triangle \triangle \triangle $ year		
•••	•••	

Table 4.3-1: Survived fragments

(Attachment 1-5)

• As for spacecraft, etc. containing substances derived from another celestial body that are to be retrieved by guiding them to fall onto the Earth, countermeasures to prevent the environment of the Earth from deterioration.

Enter information according to 6.2.5 of the Guidelines on Permission Related to Control of Spacecraft.

This item is not applied as this spacecraft is an earth orbiting spacecraft.

• As for the spacecraft, etc. that are to be injected into orbits of a celestial body other than Earth or those to be caused to fall onto such celestial body, countermeasures to prevent such celestial body from harmful contamination.

Enter information according to 6.2.6 of the Guidelines on Permission Related to Control of Spacecraft.

This item is not applied as this spacecraft is an earth orbiting satellite.

(Attachment 2)

Control plan

Enter information according to 6.3 of the Guidelines on Permission Related to Control of Spacecraft.

1. Brief description of spacecraft control facility

For the operation of the spacecraft, two or more ground stations, in addition to the place of operation specified in the written application, are to be used. Spacecraft control facilities located in Japan are specified in Table 1-1, and other radio equipment in Table 1-2. The major place of operation is Hokkaido Station, and major ground station is XXXX.

		-	-	•
#	Category	Name of facility	State	Place
1	Place of operation	Hokkaido	Japan	City, Hokkaido
		Station		
2	Place of operation	Nagoya Station	Japan	City, Aichi
3	Ground station	xxx	Japan	City, Prefecture

Table 1-1: Brief description of spacecraft control facility

 Table 1-2: Brief description of other radio equipment

#	Category	Name of facility	State	Place
1	Place of operation		U.S.	State, City
2	Ground station	000	South	००°००'००"S,
			pole	00°00'00"E
3	Ground station	$\triangle \triangle \triangle$	Norway	XXXX Prefecture

2. Method of control of spacecraft

Main configuration of ground station XXX is shown in Figure 2-1.



Figure 2-1: Configuration of ground station

This spacecraft separates a slave spacecraft and conducts the Earth observation mission on the orbit. An overview of the control of the spacecraft is shown in Table 2-1.

Operational phase	Details of operation		
Phase A	Initial operation		
(For X months)	Check out of spacecraft bus system		
	• Evaluation of performance of orbit determination system, attitude		
	determination system, and attitude control system		
	Check out of the ground station		
Phase B	Ejection of slave spacecraft		
(After XX months)	• The slave spacecraft is injected into the expected orbit with the		
	expected attitude by maneuvering the attitude of the master spacecraft.		
	• Send commands for ejecting slave spacecraft		
	• Confirm that the separation is executed as expected via telemetries		
	sent from the separated slave spacecraft and the master spacecraft.		
Phase D	Normal operation phase		
(XX months and	$d \mid \cdot$ The spacecraft take images for earth observation according to the		
thereafter)	commands sent from the ground station and transmits the data to		
	the ground station.		

Table 2-1 Outline of control of spacecraft

3. Prevention of interference with the control of other spacecraft upon separation and docking

The spacecraft separates a slave spacecraft as specified in Attachment 1-3. The orbital life of the slave spacecraft, the assessment of the surrounding orbital environment and procedures to avoid critical effect on the control of other spacecraft are described in "XXXXX Procedures for Separating Slave Spacecraft."

4. Prevention of break-up upon the occurrence of anomalies

The following is the method of implementation of measures to prevent the break-up of spacecraft in the case of detecting any anomaly in the position, attitude and condition of the spacecraft (for the details of procedures, see "XX-XXXX Procedures for Response to Contingency").

(1) Measures related to residual liquid propellants and residual high-pressure fluid

(i) Execute the procedure described in (ii) if the monitoring parameters relating to the propulsion system show abnormal values compared with thresholds (deviate from normal values) and the risk of break-up increases without any prospect of recovery. The monitoring parameters and their thresholds relating to the propulsion system are shown in Table 4-1.

Table 4-1 Monitoring parameters and thresholds for propulsion system

System	Monitoring parameters	Threshold
Propulsion system	Tank pressure Ptnk	Ptnk < XX MPa
	Tank temperature Ttnk	$\circ \circ ^{\circ} C < Ttnk < \triangle \triangle ^{\circ} C$

- (ii) Residual propellant is vented by transmitting the following commands to open shut-off valves and activate thruster valves (thruster firing).
- · Sending command to open shut-off valves
- $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ Sending command for thruster firing
- (iii) Confirm that the tank pressure Ptnk and tank temperature Ttnk recover to normal values.
- (2) Measures related to battery
- (i) Execute the procedure described in (ii) if the monitoring parameters relating to power system show abnormal values comparing with thresholds (deviate from

normal values) and the risk of break-up increases without any prospect of recovery. The monitoring parameters and their thresholds relating to the power system are shown in Table 4-2.

System	Monitoring parameters	Threshold
Power system	Battery voltage Vbat	Vbat < XX V
	Battery current Ibat	Ibat < 00 A
	Battery temperature Tbat	$\Box \Box \circ C < Tbat < \triangle \triangle \circ C$

Table 4-2 Monitoring parameters and thresholds for power system

- (ii) The solar cells must be isolated from the batteries by transmitting the following commands. The batteries must be discharged completely by operating loads only by batteries.
- \cdot Sending commands to open the switch for isolating charging circuit

5. Prevention of collision with other spacecraft, etc.

Because this spacecraft is not capable of transferring to another orbit, maneuvering to avoid collision cannot be executed, however, the projection area of the spacecraft is $0.XX m^2$ and the probability of collision with another spacecraft, etc. is very small.

6. Termination measures for spacecraft

The spacecraft is to re-enter onto the earth by natural decay due to atmospheric drag.

The orbital life after the termination of operation considering atmospheric drag was analyzed using orbital life calculation software. The conditions input into the analysis are as follows.

[Condition for analysis] Semi-major axis: XX km Eccentricity: XX Inclination: XX° Right ascension of the ascending node: XX° Argument of perigee: 00° Date of termination of operation: MM, DD, 20YY Area-to-Mass: 0.000 m²/kg Average area: 0.000m² Mass: XX kg

The results of analysis using orbital life calculation software are shown in Figure 6-1. The results of analysis show that the orbital life is XX, and it was confirmed that the spacecraft will re-enter by natural decay within 25 years.



Figure 6-1 Result of analysis of orbital lifetime

- 7. Establishment of organizational structure for the implementation of the control of spacecraft
- (1) Organization for management and duties

Persons in charge of respective duties as well as the roles and responsibilities are shown in the management structure tree in Figure 7-1.

<Duties and responsibilities>



Figure 7-1: Tree of structure of organization for control of spacecraft

Training will be given to staff members of each system, so as to ensure that staff members acquire appropriate competence. The following is the brief description of a training plan for staff members of each system (for details, see "XX-XXX Training Plan").

- Confirmation of procedures
- Training related to nominal operations
- Training related to response to major contingencies

(2) Response to contingency

Figure 7-2 shows the reporting structure and route in cases where any contingent situation is discovered. Figure 7-3 shows the flowchart of response for contingent situations.



Figure 7-2 Reporting route in case of contingency



Figure 7-3 Flowchart for responding to contingent situations

After the initial response, study the causes according to the allocation of roles and responsibilities as well as organizational structures shown in Figure 7-4 so as to







(3) Security measures

The following is the overview of the security measures implemented at the spacecraft control facility.

Figure 7-5 shows the organizational structure for information security structure for the control of spacecraft.

Person
responsible for
operationOverall supervision of information securityInformation
security
managerResponsibility for the management of information assets
Instruction on security measures, emergency responses,
instructions after an accident, etc.Persons in
chargeIn charge of giving instruction on security measures,
emergency responses and instructions after an accident
for each business

<Duties and responsibilities>

Figure 7-5 Organizational structure for Information security

The following is an overview of the security measures implemented at the spacecraft control facility (for details, see the XX-XXXX Regulations on Information Security related to Control of Spacecraft).

- Measures against malware

Use anti-virus software XXX and use computers while paying attention to the following:

- Keep the virus pattern file updated.
- Use the latest anti-virus software as practicable as possible.
- Keep the automatic real-time scan function activated.
- Measures against information leakage

Ensure that the following measures are implemented as measures against information leakage from the workplace and systems.

- Use a security system allowing access to the spacecraft control facility by only authorized persons.
- Communications are to be encrypted using XXX.
- Ensure that data, etc. will not be brought out of the spacecraft control facility to the possible extent. If necessary, manage the recording medium by keeping a management record.
- Comply with a clear desk policy and clear screen policy (e.g. log off the system while not in use, lock the screen)